CAS CS 132



### **Diagonalization Geometric Algorithms Lecture 20**

### **Objectives**

### 1. Finish our discussion on the characteristic

- polynomial
- 2. Motivate diagonalization via linear systems
- 3. Describe how to diagonalize a matrix

# dynamical systems and changes of coordinate

### **Keywords**

multiplicity similar matrices diagonalizable matrices change of basis eigenbasis

Recap: Characteristic Polynomial

### det(*A*) is an value associate with the matrix *A*

det(*A*) is an value associate with the matrix *A* **Theorem.** A matrix is invertible if and only if  $det(A) \neq 0$ 

det(*A*) is an value associate with the matrix *A* **Theorem.** A matrix is invertible if and only if  $det(A) \neq 0$ 

So by the Invertible Matrix Theorem:

- det(*A*) is an value associate with the matrix *A* **Theorem.** A matrix is invertible if and only if  $det(A) \neq 0$ So by the Invertible Matrix Theorem:
- $det(A \lambda I) = 0$   $\equiv$   $(A \lambda I)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  has nontrivial solutions
	- ≡ *λ* is an eigenvalue of *A*



- det(*A*) is an value associate with the matrix *A* **Theorem.** A matrix is invertible if and only if  $det(A) \neq 0$
- So by the Invertible Matrix Theorem:
- $det(A \lambda I) = 0$   $\equiv$   $(A \lambda I)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  has nontrivial solutions **polynomial in** *λ*
	- ≡ *λ* is an eigenvalue of *A*



### **Question.** Determine the eigenvalues of *A*.

**Question.** Determine the eigenvalues of *A*. **Solution.** Find the *roots* of the characteristic polynomial of *A*, which is

viewed as a *polynomial* in *λ*.

- 
- 
- $det(A \lambda I)$ 
	-

**Question.** Determine the eigenvalues of *A*. **Solution.** Find the *roots* of the characteristic polynomial of *A*, which is

viewed as a *polynomial* in *λ*. We'll also use

- 
- 
- $det(A \lambda I)$ 
	-

### *numpy.linalg.eig(A)*



1 − 1 [ 7 − 3  $\lambda = -1$ 



### **Example: Triangular matrix**

The characteristic polynomial of a triangular

1 −3 0 6 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 2  $0 \t 0 \t 4$ 

matrix comes <u>pre-factored</u>:<br>  $\phi$ <br>  $\phi$  (A ·  $\lambda$  ) =  $\begin{pmatrix} 1-\lambda & 0 & 0 & 6 \\ 0 & -\lambda & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1-\lambda & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 4-\lambda \end{pmatrix}$  =  $(1-\lambda)(-\lambda)(4-\lambda)$ 



### **An Observation: Multiplicity**

# *λ*1 (*λ* − 1)

### 2 (*λ* − 4)

### 1 multiplicities

### In the examples so far, we've seen a number appear as a root multiple times

### **An Observation: Multiplicity** *λ*1 (*λ* − 1) 2 (*λ* − 4) 1 multiplicities

### **An Observation: Multiplicity** *λ*1 (*λ* − 1) 2

In the examples so far, we've seen a number appear as a root multiple times the root

### This is called the **(algebraic) multiplicity** of

$$
1)^2 ( \lambda - 4 )^{1 \text{ multiplicities}}
$$

In the examples so far, we've seen a number appear as a root multiple times

### **An Observation: Multiplicity** *λ*1 (*λ* − 1) 2

### This is called the **(algebraic) multiplicity** of

the root

### **Is the multiplicity meaningful in this context?**

$$
1)^2 ( \lambda - 4 )^{1 \text{ multiplicities}}
$$

### **Multiplicity and Dimension**

### **Theorem.** The dimension of the eigenspace of *A*  $multiplicity$  of  $\lambda$  in  $det(A - \lambda I)$  (and <u>at least</u> 1)

for the eigenvalue  $\lambda$  is <u>at most</u> the

**The multiplicity is an upper bound on "how large" the eigenspace is**

### **Example**

Let  $A$  be a 5 $\times$ 5 matrix with characteristic *polynomial*  (*x* − 1) 3 (*x* − 3)(*x* + 5) *» What is ?* 𝗋𝖺𝗇𝗄(*A*) *»* What is the minimum possible rank of  $A-I$ ?

 $rank(A-I) + dim (NU (A-I)) = 5$  which Meen dim. of the  $\leq$  3  $\text{rank}(A - J) \geq 2$ 



### **Practice Problem**

### $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 5 1 4 2]



### *Determine the eigenvalues and an eigenbasis for the above matrix*

Challeage: Show that any  $2 \times 2$  matrix with positive<br>entries has 2 distinct eigenvalues (discriminant)

 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  $= \lambda^2 - 7 \lambda + 6$  $=(\lambda - 6)(\lambda - 1)$ A -  $I = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 1 \\ 4 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/4 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} x_1 = -1/4x_2 \\ x_1 \text{ is free} \end{matrix}$ 

**Answer** det  $(A - \lambda I) = det \begin{bmatrix} 5-\lambda & 1 \\ 4 & 2-\lambda \end{bmatrix} =$  $(5 - \lambda)(2 - \lambda) - 4 = 10 - 7\lambda + \lambda^2 - 4$ Solve:  $(A - 1I)Z = 0$ Sobe:  $(A - GT) \ge 0$ <br>  $\begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 4 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}$ <br>  $\begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ <br>  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -4 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ <br>  $\begin{bmatrix} x_1 = x_2 \\ x_2 \text{ is free} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ 



## Motivating Diagonalization via Linear Dynamical Systems

**Definition.** An eigenbasis of H for the matrix A is a basis of H made up of eigenvectors of A

is a basis of H made up of eigenvectors of A

We will be almost exclusively interested of eigenbases of ℝ<sup>n</sup> when  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ 

# **Definition.** An eigenbasis of H for the matrix A

**Definition.** An eigenbasis of H for the matrix A is a basis of H made up of eigenvectors of A

We will be almost exclusively interested of eigenbases of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  when  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ 

**The Question. When can we describe any vector**  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  as a unique linear combination of eigenvectors of A?

### **Recall: Linear Dynamical Systems** A **linear dynamical system** describes a sequence of state vectors starting at  $v_0$  $\mathbf{v}_1 = A\mathbf{v}_0$  $$  $$  $$  $\ddot{\bullet}$

- 
- 
- 
- 

### **Recall: Linear Dynamical Systems** A **linear dynamical system** describes a sequence of state vectors starting at  $v_0$  $\mathbf{v}_1 = A\mathbf{v}_0$  $$  $$  $$  $\ddot{\bullet}$

**multiplying by changes the**  *A***state.**



## demo

### **Eigenbases and Closed-Form solutions**

### **Eigenbases and Closed-Form solutions**

### Given  $\mathbf{v}_k = A \mathbf{v}_{k-1} = A^k \mathbf{v}_0$ , if

 $\mathbf{v}_0 = \alpha_1 \mathbf{b}_1 + \alpha_2 \mathbf{b}_2 + \alpha_3 \mathbf{b}_3$ 

 $$ eigenvectors of *A*

### **Eigenbases and Closed-Form solutions**

# Given  $\mathbf{v}_k = A \mathbf{v}_{k-1} = A^k \mathbf{v}_0$ , if

then

 $A^{k}$ **v**<sub>0</sub> =  $\alpha_1 \lambda_1^{k}$ **b**<sub>1</sub> +  $\alpha_2 \lambda_2^{k}$ **b**<sub>2</sub> +  $\alpha_3 \lambda_3^{k}$ **b**<sub>3</sub>

### Given  $\mathbf{v}_k = A \mathbf{v}_{k-1} = A^k \mathbf{v}_0$ , if  $\mathbf{v}_0 = \alpha_1 \mathbf{b}_1 + \alpha_2 \mathbf{b}_2 + \alpha_3 \mathbf{b}_3$ eigenvectors of *A*

### **Eigenbases and Closed-Form solutions**
then

#### Given  $\mathbf{v}_k = A \mathbf{v}_{k-1} = A^k \mathbf{v}_0$ , if  $\mathbf{v}_0 = \alpha_1 \mathbf{b}_1 + \alpha_2 \mathbf{b}_2 + \alpha_3 \mathbf{b}_3$ eigenvectors of *A*

 $A^{k}$ **v**<sub>0</sub> =  $\alpha_1 \lambda_1^{k}$ **b**<sub>1</sub> +  $\alpha_2 \lambda_2^{k}$ **b**<sub>2</sub> +  $\alpha_3 \lambda_3^{k}$ **b**<sub>3</sub> eigenvalues of *A*

#### **Eigenbases and Closed-Form solutions**

then  $A^k$ **v**<sup>0</sup> =  $\alpha_1 \lambda_1^k$ **b**<sub>1</sub> +  $\alpha_2 \lambda_2^k$ 

Verify:  $A^{k}(a, b, a, b)$  + d<sub>2</sub>b + dz b<sub>3</sub>) =  $\alpha, \Delta^k$  b,  $\alpha, \Delta^k$ 

Given 
$$
\mathbf{v}_k = A\mathbf{v}_{k-1} = A^k \mathbf{v}_0
$$
, if eigenvectors of A  
 $\mathbf{v}_0 = \alpha_1 \mathbf{b}_1 + \alpha_2 \mathbf{b}_2 + \alpha_3 \mathbf{b}_3$ 

eigenvalues of A  
\n
$$
\mathbf{b}_1 + \alpha_2 \lambda_2^k \mathbf{b}_2 + \alpha_3 \lambda_3^k \mathbf{b}_3
$$
\nclosed-form solution

$$
P_{3}+a_{3}A^{k}b_{3} = \alpha_{1}\lambda_{1}^{k}b_{3}^{k}b_{3}^{k}
$$



#### **Eigenbases and Closed-Form solutions**

#### **Application: Eigenbases and Limiting Behavior**

**Theorem.** If A has an eigenbasis with eigenvalues then  $v_k \sim \lambda_1^k u$  for some vector  $u$ .  $\lambda_1 \geq$ 

$$
\lambda_2 \dots \geq \lambda_k
$$

- 
- **In the long term, the system grows <u>exponentially in**  $\lambda_1$ **</u>.**



#### **Application: Eigenbases and Limiting Behavior**

**Theorem.** If A has an eigenbasis with eigenvalues then  $v_k \sim \lambda_1^k u$  for some vector  $u$ .  $\lambda_1 \geq$ 

$$
\lambda_2 \dots \geq \lambda_k
$$

#### **In the long term, the system grows <u>exponentially in**  $\lambda_1$ **</u>.**



#### Given a basis  $\mathscr{B}$  for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we only need to know  $how A \in \mathbb{R}^n$  behaves on  $\mathscr{B}$ .

 $how A \in \mathbb{R}^n$  behaves on  $\mathscr{B}$ .

case of eigenbases.

# Given a basis  $\mathscr{B}$  for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we only need to know Sometimes, A behaves simply on  $\mathscr{B}$ , as in the

- Given a basis  $\mathscr{B}$  for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we only need to know  $how A \in \mathbb{R}^n$  behaves on  $\mathscr{B}$ .
- Sometimes, A behaves simply on  $\mathscr{B}$ , as in the case of eigenbases.
- **What we're really doing is changing our coordinate system to expose a behavior of** *A***.**

Recap: Change of Basis

### **Recall: Bases define Coordinate Systems**



**FIGURE 1** Standard graph paper.



**FIGURE 2**  $\beta$ -graph paper.



every vector as a linear combination of vectors in ℬ



**FIGURE 2**  $\beta$ -graph paper.

# Given a basis  $\mathscr{B}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , there is exactly one way to write

### **Recall: Bases define Coordinate Systems**



**FIGURE 1** Standard graph paper.



every vector as a linear combination of vectors in ℬ

Every basis provides a way to write down *coordinates* of a vector



**FIGURE 2**  $B$ -graph paper.

# Given a basis  $\mathscr{B}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , there is exactly one way to write

### **Recall: Bases define Coordinate Systems**



**FIGURE 1** Standard graph paper.



every vector as a linear combination of vectors in ℬ

Every basis provides a way to write down *coordinates* of a vector



**FIGURE 2**  $B$ -graph paper.

# Given a basis  $\mathscr{B}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , there is exactly one way to write

#### ℬ **defines a "different grid for our graph paper"**

### **Recall: Bases define Coordinate Systems**



**FIGURE 1** Standard graph paper.



Let v be a vector in a  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $\mathscr{B} = (\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, ..., \mathbf{b}_n)$  be a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  where

 $\mathbf{v} = a_1 \mathbf{b}_1 + a_2 \mathbf{b}_2 + \ldots + a_n \mathbf{b}_n$ 

Let v be a vector in a  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $\mathscr{B} = (\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, ..., \mathbf{b}_n)$  be a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  where

is

- 
- $\mathbf{v} = a_1 \mathbf{b}_1 + a_2 \mathbf{b}_2 + \ldots + a_n \mathbf{b}_n$
- **Definition.** The **coordinate vector of**  $v$  relative to  $\mathscr B$

Let v be a vector in a  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $\mathscr{B} = (\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, ..., \mathbf{b}_n)$  be a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  where

#### **Definition.** The **coordinate vector of**  $v$  relative to  $\mathscr B$ is

 $\left[\mathbf{v}\right]$  $\mathscr{B}$  =

 $\mathbf{v} = a_1 \mathbf{b}_1 + a_2 \mathbf{b}_2 + \ldots + a_n \mathbf{b}_n$ 





**FIGURE 1 Standard graph** paper.



FIGURE 2 B-graph paper.  $B = ([] , []$ 



# **Question (Conceptual)**

then the columns of  $B$  form a basis  $\mathscr{B}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ *What is the matrix that implements the transformation*  $CB^{5} = T^{6}$  $C = B^{-1}$  $\mathbf{X} \mapsto [\mathbf{X}]_{\mathscr{B}} =$ 

 $w$  *h*  $c$  **r**  $\mathbf{x} = c_1 \mathbf{b}_1 + c_2 \mathbf{b}_2 + ... + c_n \mathbf{b}_n$ ?

- We know that if a  $n \times n$  matrix  $B = [\mathbf{b}_1 \ \mathbf{b}_2 \ \dots \ \mathbf{b}_n]$  is invertible,
	-



## **Change of Basis Matrix**

#### **Theorem.** If  $\mathscr{B} = {\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, ..., \mathbf{b}_n}$  form a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then

#### $[\mathbf{x}]_{\mathscr{B}} = [\mathbf{b}_1 \ \mathbf{b}_2 \ \dots \ \mathbf{b}_n]$ −1 **x**

# **Matrix inverses perform changes of bases.**

## **How To: Change of Basis**

**Question.** Given a basis  $\mathscr{B} = (\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, ..., \mathbf{b}_n)$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , find the matrix which implements  $\mathbf{x} \mapsto [\mathbf{x}]_{\mathscr{B}}$ . **Solution.** Construct the matrix  $[\mathbf{b}_1 \ \mathbf{b}_2 \ \dots \ \mathbf{b}_n]^{-1}$ .

- 
- −1



#### *Write the change-of-bases matrix for the basis* ([



**FIGURE 1** Standard graph paper.



**FIGURE 2**  $B$ -graph paper.

1

0]

,

 $\mathbf{I}$ 

1

 $2$ ]

Diagonalization

 0 0 0 −0.4 0 0 0 22 0 0 0 0

ex.



## **Definition.** A  $n \times n$  matrix A is **diagonal** if  $i \neq j$  if and only if  $A_{ij} = 0$

$$
\begin{bmatrix}\n 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & -0.4 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 22 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\n\end{bmatrix}
$$



**Definition.** A *n* × *n* matrix *A* is **diagonal** if

*Only the diagonal entries can be nonzero*

$$
\begin{bmatrix}\n1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -0.4 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 22 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0\n\end{bmatrix}
$$

 $i \neq j$  if and only if  $A_{ij} = 0$ 

ex.



- $i \neq j$  if and only if  $A_{ij} = 0$
- **Definition.** A *n* × *n* matrix *A* is **diagonal** if *Only the diagonal entries can be nonzero* **Diagonal matrices are scaling matrices**

$$
\begin{bmatrix}\n 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & -0.4 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 22 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\n \end{bmatrix}
$$



### **Recall: Unequal Scaling**

The scaling matrix *affects each component of a vector in a simple way*

> 1.5*x*  $\begin{array}{c} 1.5x \\ 0.7y \end{array}$  [



**The diagonal entries scale each corresponding entry**

1.5 0

 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 

0 0.7] [

*x*

 $y$ ] =  $|$ 



**High level question:** When do matrices "behave" like scaling matrices "up to" change of basis?



#### **The idea.** Matrices behave like scaling matrices

on eigenvectors.

#### **The idea.** Matrices behave like scaling matrices

#### $(xe_1 + ye_2) = x2e_1 + y(-3)e_2$

 $(y**b**) = x\lambda_1**b**_1 + y\lambda_2**b**$ 

on eigenvectors.

$$
\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} (
$$

$$
A \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}_{\mathscr{B}} = A(xb_1 + b_2)
$$

#### **The idea.** Matrices behave like scaling matrices

#### $(xe_1 + ye_2) = x2e_1 + y(-3)e_2$

 $(y**b**) = x\lambda_1**b**_1 + y\lambda_2**b**_2$ eigenates

on eigenvectors.

$$
\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}
$$

$$
A \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}_{\mathscr{B}} = A(x\mathbf{b}_1 -
$$

### **The fundamental question:** Can we expose this behavior in terms of a *matrix factorization*?

#### **Recall: Matrix Factorization**

#### **Recall: Matrix Factorization**

#### A **factorization** of a matrix A is an equation which expresses  $A$  as a product of one or more matrices, e.g.,

*A* = *PBP*−<sup>1</sup>
#### **Recall: Matrix Factorization**

#### A **factorization** of a matrix A is an equation which expresses  $A$  as a product of one or more matrices, e.g.,

» make working with A easier » expose important information about *A*

#### $A = PBP^{-1}$

#### Factorizations can:



**Definition.** A matrix A is similar to a matrix B if there is some invertible matrix  $P$  such that  $A = PBP^{-1}$ 

A and *B* are the same up to a change of basis

## **Similar Matrices and Eigenvalues**

# **Theorem.** Similar matrices have the same eigenvalues. 7 de+(P) \*<br>\de+(B-XI) \*  $det(P(B - \lambda I)^{2} | P)P^{-1}) = \frac{det(B - \lambda I)}{2}$

Verify:  $A = PBP^{-1}$ <br>det  $(A - \lambda T)^{-1}$ det ( $PBP^{-1} - \lambda I) =$ det ( $P(BP^{-1}-P^{-1}\lambda I)$ ) = det  $(P(B - P^{\prime} \lambda I P) P^{\prime}) = d\theta$ 



is similar to a diagonal matrix

# **Definition.** A matrix A is diagonalizable if it

is similar to a diagonal matrix

*There is an invertible matrix P and <u>diagonal</u>*  $\mathsf{matrix}$  *D* such that  $A = PDP^{-1}$ 

# **Definition.** A matrix A is diagonalizable if it

is similar to a diagonal matrix

*There is an invertible matrix P and <u>diagonal</u>*  $\mathsf{matrix}$  *D* such that  $A = PDP^{-1}$ 

**Diagonalizable matrices are the same as scaling matrices up to a change of basis**

# **Definition.** A matrix A is diagonalizable if it

#### **Important: Not all Matrices are Diagonalizable**

#### **This is very different from the LU factorization** We will need to figure out which matrices are diagonalizable *Question. Is the zero matrix diagonalizable?*

 $P$  o  $P^{-1}$  =  $P$  0 = 0



#### **Application: Matrix Powers**

**Theorem.** If  $A = PBP^{-1}$ , then  $A^k = PB^kP^{-1}$ It may be easier to take the power of  $B$  (as in the case of diagonal matrices) Verify:

 $P A^{K} P^{-1}$ 

only take the power of *B*

## **How To: Matrix Powers**

then compute *PD<sup>k</sup> P*−<sup>1</sup> *Remember that*

> *a* 0 0 0 *b* 0 *k*

#### **Question.** Given A is diagonalizable, determine  $A^k$ Solution. Find it's diagonalization *PDP*<sup>−1</sup> and

 $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & c \end{bmatrix}$   $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & c^k \end{bmatrix}$ = *a<sup>k</sup>* 0 0  $0$   $b^k$  0

## But how do we find the diagonalization...

## Diagonalization and Eigenvectors



### Suppose we have a diagonalization What do we know about it?  $A = PDP^{-1}$

#### **Columns of** *P* **are eigenvectors**  $\rho \geqslant \frac{1}{\rho}$ *λ*<sup>1</sup> 0 0 −1 $0 \lambda_2$  0  $A = [p_1 p_2 p_3]$ [**p**<sup>1</sup> **p**<sup>2</sup> **p**3]  $0 \quad \lambda_3$ Verify:<br> $\overrightarrow{AD} = PDP^1 \overrightarrow{P_1} = PDP \overrightarrow{e_1} = P \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\lambda_1}{0} \\ \frac{\lambda_2}{0} \end{bmatrix} = P \lambda_1 \overrightarrow{e_1}$  $=\lambda P\vec{e}$  =  $\lambda \vec{p}$

#### **Columns of** *P* **are eigenvectors**  $A = [p_1 p_2 p_3]$ *λ*<sup>1</sup> 0 0  $0 \lambda_2$  0  $0 \quad \lambda_3$ [**p**<sup>1</sup> **p**<sup>2</sup> **p**3] −1

In fact, the columns of *P* form an eigenbasis of ℝ<sup>n</sup> for *A*

#### **Columns of** *P* **are eigenvectors**  $A = [p_1 p_2 p_3]$ *λ*<sup>1</sup> 0 0  $0 \lambda_2$  0  $0 \quad \lambda_3$ [**p**<sup>1</sup> **p**<sup>2</sup> **p**3] −1

In fact, the columns of *P* form an eigenbasis of ℝ<sup>n</sup> for *A*

And the entries of *D* are the eigenvalues associated to each eigenvector

#### **Columns of** *P* **are eigenvectors**  $A = [p_1 p_2 p_3]$ *λ*<sup>1</sup> 0 0  $0 \lambda_2$  0  $0 \quad \lambda_3$ [**p**<sup>1</sup> **p**<sup>2</sup> **p**3] −1

In fact, the columns of *P* form an eigenbasis of ℝ<sup>n</sup> for *A*

And the entries of *D* are the eigenvalues associated to each eigenvector

#### **Columns of** *P* **are eigenvectors**  $A = [p_1 \ p_2 \ p_3]$ *λ*<sup>1</sup> 0 0  $0$   $\lambda_2$   $0$  $0 \quad \lambda_3$ [**p**<sup>1</sup> **p**<sup>2</sup> **p**3] eigenbasis<br>n. n. n. l ()  $\lambda_2$  ()  $\ln$  n. n. l<sup>-1</sup> eigenvalues

**A diagonalization exposes a lot of information about** *A*



#### **Theorem.** A matrix is diagonalizable if and only if it has an eigenbasis

- **Theorem.** A matrix is diagonalizable if and only
	-

if it has an eigenbasis

(we just did the hard part, if a matrix is diagonalizable then it has an **eigenbasis**)

if it has an eigenbasis

(we just did the hard part, if a matrix is diagonalizable then it has an **eigenbasis**)

- **Theorem.** A matrix is diagonalizable if and only
	-
- We can use the same recipe to go in the other direction, given an eigenbasis, we can **build a**

**diagonalization**

Diagonalizing a Matrix



# *A* = *PDP*−<sup>1</sup>

# $A = PDP^{-1}$

#### The columns of *P* form an eigenbasis for *A*

#### The columns of *P* form an eigenbasis for *A* The diagonal of  $D$  are the eigenvalues for each

column of *P*

# $A = PDP^{-1}$

The columns of *P* form an eigenbasis for *A*

The matrix  $P^{-1}$  is a change of basis to this **eigenbasis of**  *A*

# $A = PDP^{-1}$

#### The diagonal of  $D$  are the eigenvalues for each

column of *P*

### **Step 1: Eigenvalues**

Find all the eigenvalues of *A Find the roots of*  det(*A* − *λI*) *e.g.*

## $\det(A - \lambda I) = -(\lambda - 1)(\lambda + 2)^2$  $\lambda = 1 - 2$

 $A =$ 1 3 3  $-3$   $-5$  3 3 3 1



#### **Step 2: Eigenvectors**

#### Find **bases** of the corresponding eigenspaces *e.g.*

#### $\mathsf{Null}(A-I) = \mathsf{span}$

 $Nu(A + 2I) = span$ 







## **Step 3: Construct P**

If there are  $n$  eigenvectors from the previous step they form an **eigenbasis**

Build the matrix with these vectors as the columns

*e.g.*

$$
P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}
$$





## **Step 5: Construct D**

**Note the order.** It should be the same as the order of columns of P

Build the matrix with eigenvalues as diagonal entries

*e.g.*

 $D =$ 1 0 0  $0 -2 0$  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$ 









#### **Step 6: Invert P**

#### Find the inverse of  $P$  (we know how to do this)

#### $P$  (we know how  $D =$ 1 0 0  $0 -2 0$  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$  $A =$ 1 3 3  $-3$   $-5$  3 3 3 1  $P =$ 1 −1 −1 −1 1 0 <sup>1</sup> <sup>0</sup> <sup>1</sup> ]







#### **Putting it Together**

#### 1 0 0  $0 -2 0$  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$ 1 −1 −1 −1 1 0 <sup>1</sup> <sup>0</sup> <sup>1</sup> ] *A P D P*<sup>−1</sup>



−1

## **How to: Diagonalizing a Matrix**

Question. Find a diagonalization of  $A \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , or determine that  $A$  is not diagonalizable

#### **Solution.**

- 
- 2. Otherwise, build a matrix  $P$  whose columns are the eigenvectors of  $A$
- *in the same order*
- 4. Invert *P*
- 5. The diagonalization of A is  $PDP^{-1}$

1. Find the eigenvalues of A, and bases for their eigenspaces. If these eigenvectors don't form a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then  $A$  is **not diagonalizable** 

3. Then build a diagonal matrix  $D$  whose entries are the eigenvalues of  $A$ 



## We know how to do every step, its a matter of putting it all together

## **Example of Failure: Shearing**

- The shearing matrix has a single eigenvalue with an eigenspace of dimension 1
- We can't build an eigenbasis of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  for  $A$
- In other words, A is not diagonalizable

 $\lambda = 1$ 

 $det(A - \lambda I) = (\lambda - 1)^{2}$ 





 $A = |$ 


### **Important case: Distinct Eigenvalues**

eigenvalues, then it is diagonalizable

This is because eigenvectors with distinct eigenvalues are *linearly independent*



- **Theorem.** If an  $n \times n$  matrix has has  $n$  distinct
	-



### **Example** *Find a diagonalization of the above matrix*  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 2 0 −1 1]

# The Picture









−1



## **Example (Geometric)**

 $\lambda = 2$ 



 $D = |$ 







## **Example (Geometric)**



### $A = PDP^{-1}$ −1 0  $1 \quad 1$ 1 0  $0 \quad 2 \quad 1$ −1 0  $1 \quad 1$ −1













